

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/624,788	07/22/2003	Tony Reno	03-015-TR	7499		
7590 08/17/2005			EXAM	INER		
MELISSA PATANGIA, ESQ.			MATHEW	MATHEW, FENN C		
	ASSOCIATES	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
92 STATE STREET BOSTON, MA 02109			3764	3764		

DATE MAILED: 08/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					W			
Office Action Summary		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/624,788		RENO, TONY				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Fenn C. Math		3764				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	ppears on the co	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Experiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, ply within the statutor d will apply and will ex te, cause the applicat	however, may a reply be tin y minimum of thirty (30) day pire SIX (6) MONTHS from ion to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06.	June 2005.						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-44 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-44 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note	the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.			
•	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been r nts have been r iority document au (PCT Rule 1	received. received in Applicat s have been receive (7.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	l Stage			
Attachmer	nt(s)							
1) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4)	☐ Interview Summary					
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	8) 5)	Paper No(s)/Mail D Notice of Informal F		O-152)			
	er No(s)/Mail Date	-,	Other:		,			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Noffsinger (U.S. 4,647,039). Please refer to paragraph 2 of the office action dated March 1, 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claims 25-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Noffsinger alone. Please refer to paragraph 4 of the office action dated March 10, 2005.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 06/06/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. With respect to claim 1, the claim limitation merely recites 'a support structure'. Applicant is reminded that limitations in the specification are not read into the claims and that the claims are examined in the broadest reasonable light. With respect to claim 2, the phrase "supports the strongest range of motion" provides no structural limitation. In fact, Noffsinger appears to meet that requirement, as if the support structure were unable to support the strongest range of motion, bench press exercises

Page 3

Art Unit: 3764

could not be performed. With respect to claim 3, the claim merely recites a base member. Once again Examiner points out that limitations from the specification are not read into the claims themselves. With regards to claim 4, Examiner agrees that the rectangular sleeve 48 is welded to the bar, but that does not mean that the sleeve/bar is welded to the slide block or plate. With respect to claim 5-8, Applicant's arguments are similar to the arguments above, and the structure of Noffsinger still meets the claimed limitations as broadly claimed. With respect to claims 8-12, stated goals of the device are irrelevant when compared to prior art meeting the broad structural limitations. With regards to claims 13-24, the above arguments have addressed all of the Applicant's arguments. With regards to the limitation "withstand the forces that accompany the strongest range of motion", if Applicant's arguments were considered to be true, then one could not use Noffsinger to perform bench press exercises. This of course based on the specification of Noffsinger is entirely false, as one could perform bench press exercises. As cited by the Applicant, the 'strongest range of motion' merely refers to a phase during a normal repetition of bench press, which may be performed on the Noffsinger device. With regards to the method claims, Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Applicant is attempting to impart definitions to broad terminology that is consistent with the specification. To reiterate, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. Examiner appreciates Applicant's intent, but examination is based on the claims as presented. Although events may occur for different reasons, (i.e. abstentation period), that line of reasoning alone is not sufficient to overcome the prior art of record.

Application/Control Number: 10/624,788 Page 4

Art Unit: 3764

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fenn C. Mathew whose telephone number is (571) 272-4978. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00am - 5:30pm.

. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/624,788 Page 5

Art Unit: 3764

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

fcm August 8, 2005

> MICHAEL A. BROWN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Michael Q.B.